



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/525,926	03/15/2000	Richard A. Smith	62-184	9870	
75	90 06/25/2003				
Farkas & Manelli PLLC 2000 M Street N W 7th Floor			· EXAMINER		
			KUPSTAS, TOD A		
Washington, DO	C 20036-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		-		1 /	
			2153 DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary Commonstrate Commonstrat									
Examiner To Kupstas 2153 - The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE of FTHIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In on everth, however, may a reply be timely filed - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory priority within the statutory minimum of theiry (30) days will be canadicated timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory priority will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making also of the communication. Failuse to incomply explicited above, the maximum statutory priority will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making also of the communication. Failuse to incomply within the set or considered period for significant the emplace of the communication, even if limity filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.2.31 and 50 Is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.2.31 and 50 Is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.2.31 and 50 Is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.31 2.32 and 39 Is/are withdrawn from consideration. 8) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1/3 Is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.31 2.030, and 39-49 Is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.31 2.030, and 39-49 Is/are rejected. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1/3 Is/are significant in solipicated to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1/3 Is/are significant in solipicated to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	()				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of tem may be switched where the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ### White Provision of the provision of the provision of 17 CPR 1.136(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ### Use period for reply appendix therefore the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ### Use period for reply appendix the time the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ### Use period for reply appendix the other behavior and the provision of the period for reply appendix down. The maining date of this communication. ### Provision of the period for reply appendix down. The maining date of the communication. ### Provision of the period for reply appendix down. The maining date of the communication. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. ### Responsive to communication april 2003. ### Res	,		09/525,926	SMITH ET AL.	"				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply) A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercisions of time may be a realization and the procession of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed Exercisions of time may be a realization and the procession of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed Exercisions of time may be a realization and the procession of 17 CFR 1.13(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed I the period for reply is pecified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of briny (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory prode will deply and will explicit S(f) (MoNTH'S from the melling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exercise path of the timely filed. Fill the period for reply be produced above, the maximum statutory produced of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exercise any exer		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edeasions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a reply be timely filled before the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a reply be timely filled before the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a reply be timely filled before the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a reply be timely filled before the provision of the communication of the communication. Provision of the communication of the communication of the communication. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10 April 2003. 2a) This action is FiNAL. 2b) This action is private. 2b) This action is FiNAL. 2b) This action is private. 4) Claim(s) 1.50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 1.50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 1.51 is/are objected to the communication of the communication. 5c) Claim(s) 1.51 is/are objected to private rejected. 7c) Claim(s) 1.51 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1 is: a) accepted to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 2a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentations of them may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply is pecified above is less than thirty (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will deply and will expise (5K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallurs to reply within the set or extended period for raply vill, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Pallurs to reply valled the set of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any extended patient term adjustment. Set 37 CPR 1.704(o). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.50 gis/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.2.31 and 50 [s/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.31 and 50 [s/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.11 20-30, and 39-49 [s/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.31 20-30, and 39-49 [s/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.31 20-30, and 39-49 [s/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are rejected. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved by the Examiner. 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12.31 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11, 20-30, and 39-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)	THE N - Exter - If the - If NO - Faillui - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Ctairms 4) Clairm(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Clairm(s) 12.31 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) Clairm(s) 1-11, 20-30, and 39-49 is/are rejected. 7) □ claim(s) is/are objected to. 3) □ claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved by □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c)□ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.4	April 2003						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12.31 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11, 20-30, and 39-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	_ _							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12.31 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11.30 and 39-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)	′=	<i>,</i> —		resocution as to the m	norito io				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12,31 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11, 20-30, and 39-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
5) Claim(s) 12.31 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11, 20-30, and 39-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.								
6) Claim(s) 1-11, 20-30, and 39-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-29 and 32-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,31 and 50</u> is/are allowed.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Netice of References Cited (PTO-892)	6)⊠	_							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			r election requirement.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)									
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a)[a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P						

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 20-24, 26, 28, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Burgan et al. (US 6,459,892) in view of Marquette et al. (US 6,499,053).

As set forth in claims 1, 20, and 39, Burgan discloses a method and apparatus for providing access to a channel of a Chat group to a mobile device, comprising: placing a mobile chat proxy server; see col. 2, line 64-col. 3, line 23, and fig. 1 (the transmitters and receivers operating with the system controller encode and decode the wireless transmissions in formats compatible with land line messages) in a direct communication path between a standard Chat server (48) and a wireless gateway server (40, 30) supporting said mobile device; wherein said mobile chat proxy server forwards chat commands from said mobile device to said standard Chat server; see col. 2, line 64-col. 3, line 23, (upon sending a chat message to a device located on a LAN or WAN, the receiver will translate for the Chat server).

Art Unit: 2153

As set forth in claims 1, 20, and 39, Burgan does not explicitly disclose the usage of an IRC server. Burgan does disclose having a chat server (48), it is not clear as to whether or not IRC is enabled. Marquette discloses having a mobile device enabled for chat that can conduct chat communications with devices on LANs, WANs, and the Internet (communication with the Internet would utilize IRC). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to have enabled the server of Burgan, with Internet chat capability, as taught by Marquette. The rationale is as follows: it would have been desirable to have enabled the users to chat with clients over the Internet. As Marquette teaches the desirability of providing the server with Internet chat capability, one of ordinary skill would have been motivated by Marquette's teaching to have provided the server in Burgan, with Internet chat capability, thereby having provided the system of Burgan with the capability of chatting with users on the Internet.

As set forth in claims 2, 21, and 40, Burgan discloses a method and apparatus for providing access to a channel of a chat group to a mobile device wherein said access includes participation in said channel by said mobile device; see col. 3, lines 24-39 (can function using any wireless channel and wireless device).

As set forth in claims 3, 22, and 41, Burgan discloses a method and apparatus for providing access to a channel of a chat group to a mobile device wherein the mobile device comprises a mobile telephone; see col. 3, lines 34-39, (a mobile phone is used in the system).

Art Unit: 2153

As set forth in claims 4, 23, and 42, Burgan discloses a method and apparatus for providing access to a channel of a chat group to a mobile device wherein the mobile telephone is a mobile originated telephone with respect to said accessed channel of said Internet Relay chat group; see fig. 1, and col. 3, lines 34-39.

As set forth in claims 5, 24, and 43, Burgan discloses a method and apparatus for providing access to a channel of a chat group to a mobile device wherein said mobile chat proxy server interprets Chat commands from said mobile device (acts a gateway provides downloading and uploading from chat messages; see col. 2, line 59-col. 3, line 24.

As set forth in claims 7, 26, and 45, Burgan discloses a method and apparatus for providing access to a channel of a chat group to a mobile device wherein said mobile chat proxy server passes communications with said mobile device through an Interworking Function interface in a direction toward said mobile device; see fig 1.

As set forth in claims 9, 28, and 47, Burgan discloses a method and apparatus for providing access to a channel of a chat group to a mobile device: including wireless Internet gateway between said mobile chat proxy server and said mobile device; see col. 2, line 59-col. 3, line 24.

As set forth in claims 11, 30, and 49, Burgan discloses a method of providing access to a channel of a chat group to a mobile device comprising summoning at least one other mobile device to join said Chat group; see col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 9.

Art Unit: 2153

3. Claims 6, 8, 10, 25, 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgan in view of Holmes et al. (US 6,178,331).

Burgan does not disclose using SMPP or a short message system controller. Holmes discloses having a wireless system wherein both SMPP and a short message system controller is used; see col. 3, lines 19-24, and col. 11, 16-65. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to have provided the system of Burgan, with either SMPP or a short message system controller, as taught by Holmes. The rationale is as follows: It would have been desirable to have used standard protocols for sending messages. As teaches the desirability of using SMPP and a short message system controller in a wireless system, one of ordinary skill would have been motivated by Holmes's teaching to have provided the system of Burgan with these protocols thereby having provided various standardized languages for use in the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 12, 31, and 50 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 20-31, and 39-49 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Marquette discloses the usage of mobile devices that can conduct chat sessions over the Internet which would therefore require IRC. This

Application/Control Number: 09/525,926

Art Unit: 2153

renders the usage of IRC in the system of Burgan obvious in view of Marquette at the time the

Page 6

invention was made.

Conclusion

6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenton Burgess, can be reached at (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for this art unit is

(703) 305-2701. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the technology center receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 305-3900.

Tod Kupstas

June 16, 2003